11-2-05

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY



PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference ES190401	FOR FURTHER A	CTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416			
International application No.	International filing da	ite (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)			
PCT/JP2004/003520	17 March 200	4 (17.03.2004)	17 March 2003 (17.03.2003)			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or n G06F 9/06, 15/00	national classification an	nd IPC				
Applicant	SEIKO EPSON (CORPORATION				
This report is the international prelin Authority under Article 35 and trans	minary examination rep smitted to the applicant	ort, established by this according to Article 3	s International Preliminary Examining 6.			
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 4 sheets, including this cover sheet.						
3. This report is also accompanied by A	•					
a. 🔀 (sent to the applicant and	to the International Bu	ureau) a total of 6	sheets, as follows:			
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).						
sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.						
b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s))						
, containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).						
4. This report contains indications rela	4. This report contains indications relating to the following items:					
Box No. I Basis of the report						
Box No. II Priority						
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention						
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
Box No. VI Certain documents cited						
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application						
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application						
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of	of this report			
12 July 2004 (12.07.2004)		25	May 2005 (25.05.2005)			
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/JP		Authorized officer				
Facsimile No.		Telephone No.				

Translation

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/003520

Rox No	o. I ———	Basis of the report	
1. With	h regard rwise in	to the language, this report is based on the international application in the landicated under this item.	nguage in which it was filed, unless
	This whic	report is based on translations from the original language into the following h is language of a translation furnished for the purpose of:	ng language,
		international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))	
		publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4)	
		international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)	
		,	
	are not The in	to the elements of the international application, this report is based on the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referrannexed to this report): ternational application as originally filed/furnished	(replacement sheets which have been ed to in this report as "originally filed"
\boxtimes	the de	scription:	
	pages	1-17	, as originally filed/furnished
	pages'	Teceived by this Authority on	
	pages'	received by this Authority on	
\boxtimes	the cla	ims:	
	pages	3-5, 8, 10, 12, 13, 17	or originally flad from inter-
	pages*		, as originally filed/furnished gether with any statement) under Article 19
	pages*	1, 2, 6, 7, 9, 11, 15, 16, 18, 20, 21 received by this Authority on	14 January 2005 (14.01.2005)
	pages*		14 January 2003 (14.01.2003)
\square	the dra		
	pages	•	
	pages*	1-6	, as originally filed/furnished
	pages*	received by this Authority on received by this Authority on	
_	_	-	
Ш	a seque	nce listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sec	quence Listing.
_			
3. 🔀	The arr	endments have resulted in the cancellation of:	
	☐ t	ne description, pages	
		ne claims, Nos14, 19	
	吕;	ne drawings, sheets/figs	•
	님 "	ne sequence listing (specify):	
	a	ny table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):	
	(Rule 7		port and listed below had not been indicated in the Supplemental Box
	╟ "	e description, pages	
	∐ th	e claims, Nos.	
j	in	e drawings, sheets/figs	
	th	e sequence listing (specify):	
		y table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):	
•			
If itam	d an-1:	and some on all of the section	
ıj uem	-, ирри	s, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."	
DC7	C/IDIC A	400 (Box No. I) (January 2004)	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/JP04/003520

Box No. I	Lack of unity of invention
1.	In response to the invitation to restrict or pay additional fees the applicant has:
	restricted the claims.
	paid additional fees.
	paid additional fees under protest.
Σ	neither restricted nor paid additional fees.
2. T	his Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose, according to Rule 68.1, of to invite the applicant to restrict or pay additional fees.
3. This Au	thority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is
K	mplied with.
	t complied with for the following reasons:
invades, a in view of	matter common to claims 1-21 is only that communication information is obtained when a virus and the computer that has sent the virus is detected based on the obtained communication information, the descriptions in independent claims 1, 6, 17, 18, 20 and 21.
The described	search revealed, however, that the above-mentioned matter common to them is not novel, as it is in the following document:
Documen	:: JP, 11-134190, A (Hitachi, Ltd.), 21 May, 1999 (21.05.99), full text, Figs. 1-5 (Family: none)
a virus ini attached is	above document describes that a virus check is performed on the data forwarded in a network, and, if ection is detected, the computer that has sent the electronic mail or document file to which the virus is detected on the basis of the information transmitted from it.
and so it i	a result, the above-mentioned matter common to claims 1-21 is not beyond the scope of the prior art, s not considered that, because of the said matter, the said claims are so linked to one another as to
The computer performin	gle general inventive concept. matter common to claims 1-13, 15-18 and 20 is that an attack-on-virus process is performed against a that has transmitted a virus; on the other hand, the subject matter of claim 21 does not relate to g an attack-on-virus process. Accordingly, it is not considered that the subject matters of claims 1-13, 20, and the subject matter of claim 21, are so linked to each other as to form a single general concept.
Acc satisfy the	ordingly, the subject matters of claims 1-13, 15-18 and 20, and the subject matter of claim 21, do not requirement of unity of invention.
4. Consequ	ently, this report has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:
	all parts.
Ľ	the parts relating to claims Nos

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/JP04/003520

1. Statement			
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-13, 15-18, 20	YES
	Claims		NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-13, 15, 16, 18, 20	YES
	Claims	17	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-13, 15-18, 20	YES
	Claims		

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

Document 1: JP, 2002-252654, A (Mitsubishi Electric Corp.), 6 September, 2002 (06.09.02)

Document 2: WO, 2002-006928, A (VCIS Inc.), 14 June, 2002 (14.06.02)

Document 3: Why Doesn't This Kind of Product Exist?, (in Japanese), Ohmsha, Ltd., 1 December, 1999

(01.12.99), Computer & Network LAN, Vol. 17, No. 12, pages 45-47

Document 4: JP, 2002-73433, A (Mitsubishi Electric Corp.), 12 March, 2002 (12.03.02)

Document 5: JP, 2003-36243, A (KDDI Corp.), 7 February, 2003 (07.02.03)

Document 6: JP, 11-134190, A (Hitachi, Ltd.), 21 May, 1999 (21.05.99), full text, all drawings (Family: none)

The subject matter of claim 17 does not appear to involve an inventive step in view of document 1 cited in the ISR.

Document 1 describes (1) a DDoS attack wherein packets are simultaneously transmitted to the transmitted parties to be attacked from a plurality of transmitting parties by remote-controlling agents in a computer network, and (2) the constitution wherein packet relays are automatically stopped when an invasion by an unauthorized access is detected, and it would be obvious to a person skilled in the art to adopt the constitution of a means of attack in document 1 wherein packets are simultaneously transmitted to the transmitted party.

The subject matters of claims 1-13, 15, 16, 18 and 20 appear to be novel and to involve an inventive step in view of documents 1-5 cited in the ISR, and document 6 newly cited.

The above-mentioned documents do not describe that an advance message that an attack-on-virus process will be performed or that an attack will be begun is transmitted, or that a warning sound is produced at an attacking terminal device when or after an attack is begun, and a person skilled in the art could not have easily conceived of such features from the descriptions in the above-mentioned documents.